IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO. 64 OF 2006

1. Mr. Vinod R. Jain & Anr.] .. Petitioners

Versus

1. Municipal Corporation of the City of Pune and 2 ors.] .. Respondents

Mr. R.M. Pethe for the petitioners.

Mr. V.G. Mujumdar for respondent No.1.

Mr. Sandip Pathak i/b Mr. S.B. Deshmukh for respondent No.2.

Mr. V.P. Malvankar, AGP, for respondent No.3.

CORAM: R.M. LODHA &
NARESH H. PATIL, JJ.

DATED: 09TH AUGUST, 2006

P.C. :

. We are of the view that multiplicity of P.I.L's must be avoided and, therefore, this Writ Petition (PIL) need not be admitted in view of the fact that Rule has already been issued in Public Interest Litigation No.77 of 2001 and that P.I.L. is pending before the Court, broadly raising identical issues.

2. We, accordingly, find no justification in

entertaining this Public Interest Litigation and it is disposed of accordingly.

3. However, the petitioner in Public Interest Litigation No.77 of 2001 shall be at liberty to seek amendment in that Writ Petition, if so advised.

4. It is clarified that if any study tour is decided to be undertaken by the Committee appointed by Tree Authority, Pune Municipal Corporation, the prior permission shall be sought by making appropriate application in Public Interest Litigation No.77 of 2001.

. Order accordingly.

Sd/-
[R.M. LODHA, J.]

Sd/-
[NARESH H. PATIL, J.]